



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,516	01/03/2002	Tuan Bui	IDB-5775 (1417G P 645)	6007	
7:	590 01/14/2004		EXAMINER		
Francis C.M. Kowalik, Esq.			MAIORINO, ROZ		
Corporate Cour	nsel, Law Department				
BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Baxter Par	kway, DF2-2E		3763	* ***	
Deerfield, IL	60015		DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
. Office Action Comment	10/038,516	BUI, TUAN	\bigcap				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roz Maiorino	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	; 				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communi CD (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	ication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	lovember 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			its is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,11,12,20,23,24,40 and 41 is/a	are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,11,12,20,23,24,40 and 41</u> is/a)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,11,12,20,23,24,40 and 41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, -, ,	•	` '				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language process. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s).	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification o existence of the specification of existence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional appl r in an Application Data ceived. and/or 121 since a spe	lication) Sheet. ecific				
Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Thterview Summary	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/038,516

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-12, 20, 23-24, 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Pub No.6562001 to Lebel et al.

Lebel teach a medical device having a supply of medication and a means for delivering the medication to the patient, a control algorithm coupled to the medical

Art Unit: 3763

device, and a sensor coupled to a patient to receive information from the patient concerning the physiological condition of the patient, the information being transferred from the sensor to the control algorithm, wherein the control algorithm is adapted to process the information to control the delivery of the medication form the medical devote to the patient base on the information that was processed. (see paragraphs, 23, 40, 55, 63, 72, 77, 119, 298, 289 and the entire reference.)

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-12, 20, 23-24, 40-41 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 10039751. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the current application are simply a broader claim limitations than the claims in Application No.1039751.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,516 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 703-305-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

RM

BULGA ETIMA E. ETAMEN ETAMA ET